1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 ARDEN ROSE, CASE NO. C22-1513-KKE 8 Plaintiff. v. ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND 9 DENYING IN PART STIPULATED HOLLAND AMERICA LINE INC et al., MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE 10 Defendants. SCHEDULE 11 12 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' stipulated motion to continue the case 13 schedule. Dkt. No. 29. The parties proposed two alternative case schedules. *Id.* While the Court 14 finds that the parties have shown good cause to continue the trial date and related pretrial deadlines, 15 the Court's calendar cannot accommodate either of the parties' proposed schedules. 16 Accordingly, the motion (Dkt. No. 29) is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. 17 Because the Court has found good cause for a continuance, all unexpired case deadlines (Dkt. No. 18 26) are VACATED. The parties are directed to jointly contact Courtroom Deputy Diyana Staples, 19 at diyana staples@wawd.uscourts.gov regarding their availability. A new case schedule will then 20 be issued in accordance with the Court's calendar. Dated this 11th day of March, 2024. 21 22 Ayuberly X Eauson 23 Kymberly K. Evanson 24 United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART STIPULATED MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE

SCHEDULE - 1